

Toward Common Understanding of Smart Card Protection Profiles

EU- Japan Security Conference

Ken Ayer

Chair, SCSUG

Vice President, Visa International

Today's Presentation Agenda

- ◆ Why test at all
- ◆ Smart Card Security Working Group
- ◆ Smart Card Profile Status
- ◆ Lessons Learned
- ◆ Next steps

Why test?

- ◆ Visa has tested hundreds of smart cards since 1995.
- ◆ Most fail functional testing the first time around.
- ◆ About a third failed security testing after they passed functional.
- ◆ Situation is improving considerably.

Why Use the Common Criteria

- ◆ International Standard – ISO 15408
- ◆ Multi-Industry
- ◆ Permits competitors to cooperate on security
- ◆ Consistent evaluations permit comparison shopping by Users
- ◆ Has dispute resolution process

Smart Card Security Users Group

- ◆ Formed June 1999
- ◆ American Express, Europay, JCB, MasterCard, Mondex, Visa with NIAP & other Common Criteria Authorities
- ◆ Goal: Protection Profile(s) for financial applications & others with similar needs
- ◆ Smart Card Profile drafted, posted for comment, reviewed - 6th draft now

SCSUG Smart Card Protection Profile

- ◆ Covers basic platform, single or multiple application, fixed or the new reconfigurable technologies
- ◆ Evolved from earlier work by each payment system, others
- ◆ Draft was posted for comment for 3 months, after separate vendor reviews
- ◆ Has been evaluated by labs accredited in Canada, France, Germany, US

Lessons Learned

- ◆ **Some Common Criteria concepts are not clear when smart cards are involved**
 - **Technological questions**
 - Can smart card achieve the highest security rating?
What does AVA_VLA.4 mean?
 - Can smart cards have a Covert Channel (AVA_CCA)?
 - How does one say “disable test mode” in CC?
 - **Composability, re-usability**
 - How can evaluator do chip families?
 - How can card evaluator share chip evaluation, perhaps done in a different country?

Answering Questions

- ◆ **Must have authoritative clarification to achieve comparability**
- ◆ **Everyone needs comparability – all evaluations must be done to same standard**
- ◆ **CC Interpretations Management Board can provide that**

Cooperation with Others

- ◆ **Global Platform, Inc - Open Platform Profile**
SEE http://www.visa.com/nt/suppliers/open/protect_form
- ◆ **Secure Silicon Vendors Group**
- ◆ **Eurosmart**
- ◆ **Japan – ECSEC, NMDA**
- ◆ **Other application providers**

EMV Security Working Group

- ◆ **Currently working on draft Protection Profile for EMV credit/debit application**
- ◆ **Will use SCSUG PP as platform**
- ◆ **Work in Progress**
- ◆ **Will be shared across EMV systems**

Future Activities

- ◆ **Certify & Register Profile**
- ◆ **Ask CC Interpretations Management Board to clarify several points**
- ◆ **Write application Profiles**
- ◆ **Vendors will build Security Targets**
- ◆ **Smart cards will be built & evaluated**
- ◆ **Will be revised as necessary as we gain experience with CC process**

Future Activities

- ◆ Lab accreditation needs smart card specific capability
- ◆ Test methods need development
- ◆ Additional application specific profiles possible
- ◆ Revise in light of feedback from ST, product evaluations
- ◆ On-going monitoring & evolution of process

Information & Comments

www.scsug.org or

<http://csrc.nist.gov/cc/sc/sclist.htm>

◆ **American Express**

Mark Merkow

mark.merkow@aexp.com

◆ **Europay**

Fernando Lourenco

fel@europay.com

◆ **JCB**

Toshiaki Kuzuki

kuzuki@jcb.japanglobe.net

◆ **MasterCard**

Simon Pugh

Simon_Pugh@mastercard.com

◆ **Mondex**

Alan Mushing

alan.mushing@mondex.com

◆ **NIAP**

Gene Troy

eugene.troy@nist.gov

◆ **Visa**

Ken Ayer

kayer@visa.com